

THE DAILY DEMOCRAT,
PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY
HARNEY, HUGHES & CO.,
THIRD STREET,
East side, between Market and Jefferson streets.

SPECIAL ADVERTISING NOTICE.—A single-line advertisement, meetings, Masonic, Odd Fellows, Firemen's, &c., are charged fifty cents per square foot, ten lines against the first insertion, and twenty-five cents each insertion thereafter.

Advertisements of Situations Wanted, Religion, Obituary Notices, &c., are charged twenty-five cents per line or less, and are charged twenty-five cents each insertion.

All advertisements published in the morning paper are inserted in the evening edition at half price.

ALL TRANSMITTED ADVERTISEMENTS PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.

FRIDAY. — JUNE 6, 1856.

IF ever there was a political party in this country it was the Free Soil. It is true that the Abolitionists at first adopted it, but they found it incapable, and were forced to give it up. They then formed another, which can never be adopted, it is said, by the Southern men, but was adopted by a few Northern men, and they called it the Free Soil party. It is now turned and ends over to procure the nomination of the Hindoo nominees. They found the party inadequate.—*Louisville Journal*.

Can human impudence and meanness exceed this? If any feature of the Know-Nothing organization stands out in bolder relief than another, it is its infatuation, its absolute identity, with the anti-slavery faction in the Northern States.

In New York and Ohio alone among the free States, so far as we now recollect, has it ever attempted the support of a ticket for State or national offices, in opposition to the Free Soil nomination?

In most cases they have coalesced with them in their conventions, and nominated and voted for the same men. Wherever they have brought forward candidates in a free State upon assumed national principles, they have proved themselves utterly powerless. This fusion with FreeSoilers and Abolitionists is still kept up in most of the free States, in the State of Indiana. The simple truth is, as every one knows, that Know-Nothingism in the most out-of-the-way parts of the Southern States is but another phase of Free soilism. The fact is too apparent to need illustration.

But, reader, what do you think of such a statement as this? "In June, 1855, when the American platform was adopted, it satisfied the Southern men, but was satisfactory to the FreeSoilers, and they voted." In February, 1856, a portion of them returned, and endeavored to procure a modification of the platform to suit them. They found the party inexorable!

Know-Nothingism DECLINING.—The Know-Nothing cause at this place is said to be hopelessly bankrupt. They have failed to pay their lodger room rent, and are unable to do so. They have given up their lodgings, and are compelled to live in a great steer for fear they will go to pieces before he gets his pay. He claims to have afforded all the light that the Congress has ever enjoyed, and is now about to be left in the dark again. The "Padre" of the church, who was a fine-looking body of men, and judging from appearance, will do the State some service, though he is the bluest of blue in our ears." They were drilled at Carlsbad Barracks.

**DEMOCRATIC
National Convention
PLATFORM.**

Reported by the Committee on Resolu-

tions.

The Convention was called to order at ten o'clock by the chairman. Mr. Hale, of Massachusetts, then made the following report:

The Committee on Resolutions, by their Chairman, Mr. Hale, of Massachusetts, submitted the following report:

Resolved, That the American Democracy place their trust in the intelligence, the patriotism, and the discriminating justice of the American people.

Resolved, That we regard this as a distinctive feature of our political creed, which we are proud to maintain before the world, that the great moral element in a form of government springing from and upheld by the popular will; and we contrast it with that of the Slaveholders, who have sunk into a state of almost entire mental insensibility. His influence is gone. There are no more of his recovery.—*Frankfort Yeoman*, 5th.

At last accounts, General Sam Houston, or "Big Drunk," as Prentiss called him before he joined the Know-Nothings, was at Shreveport, La., electioneering for the Presidency as an independent candidate.

The exceeding length of our telegraphic reports, together with the Democrat platform, received at a late hour last evening, excludes most of the matter prepared for this morning's issue.

MOSES.—The Louisville Journal only claims twenty-one States for Fillmore and Donelson.

It would, however, have been nearer the truth had it left the twenty-off and counted the one State that could be counted as reasonably certain for the Hindoo nominees.—*Paris Flag*.

We learn to learn that the health of Lieut. Gov. Hardy has failed very rapidly since the adjournment of the Legislature. During the session he had an attack of partial paralysis; and since his return to Frankfort he has sunk into a state of almost entire mental insensibility. His influence is gone. There are no more of his recovery.—*Frankfort Yeoman*, 5th.

U. S. TROOPS FOR KANSAS AND NEW MEXICO.—The command of the U. S. troops, numbering 2000, were sent through the mountains of Colorado to New Mexico. They were under command of Lieutenant DuBois and Merrill, and comprised Companies A and B of the 21, and Company F of the 1st dragoons—the first two to be sent to Fort O'Reilly, in Kansas, and the others to Forts Union and Craig, in New Mexico, where they were to form a chain of posts, and endeavor to procure the modification of the roads, so that they could be easily traversed.

They were drilled at Carlsbad Barracks.

Pitts. Post. 3d.

THE NICARAGUAN LUTHER—FATHER VILIJ'S MISSION.—El Panameno of the 21 of May, has, under the above head, the following curious statement:

"We know that Walker, in order to secure the services of the Lieutenant of Guards, Father Vilij, passed through the United States, and that he was received with the greatest courtesy, and was made a Protestant Church, of which he said curate, Sr. Vilij is. We also know that he was received with the greatest courtesy, and respect, before the American people, the declaration of principles avowed by them, when, on former occasions, in general Convention, they have presented their candidates for the popular suffrage."

The great English Government is of like mind.

He does not, however, say that he was received with the greatest courtesy, and respect, before the American people, the declaration of principles avowed by them, when, on former occasions, in general Convention, they have presented their candidates for the popular suffrage."

Resolved, That the great highway which connects the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Government does not confer upon the power to commence or carry on a general system of internal improvements.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Government does not confer upon the power to commence or carry on a general system of internal improvements.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer upon the power to commence or carry on a general system of internal improvements.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for local and internal improvements, or other State purposes; nor would such assumption be just or expedient.

That the Constitution does not confer authority upon the Federal Government, directly or indirectly, to assume the debts of the several States, contracted for

DAILY DEMOCRAT.

Two editorials have appeared in Southern Kentucky.

Thanks to Adams's efforts, and the fine steamer Chancellor for favors to this office.

Two negroes belonging to Mr. Graves, and two belonging to Messrs. Lamb & Clift, of Mason county, made their escape on Sunday last.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives. A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

REAL ESTATE SALE BY MR. S. G. HENRY.—On yesterday afternoon Mr. Henry sold at public sale the brick and frame two story dwelling and lot on Jefferson street, north side, one door below eight street, lot 50 feet front by 200 deep, to a 20 foot alley, for \$4,300. Terms, 1/2 cash, balance in 6, 12, and 24 months, with interest. Mr. Henry also sold a brick cottage, north east corner of Madison and Tenth streets, for \$3,000. Terms, one-half cash; balance in six semi-annual payments, with interest.

INCREASE OF POPULATION IN TEXAS.—The population of Texas has increased, according to the very latest calculations, for hundred per cent in ten years—a circumstance almost unprecedented in the South. States, according to the last return of the census in a similar period, were in Florida 25 percent, South Carolina 5, Georgia 22, Maryland 11, Alabama 8, North Carolina 2, Tennessee 6, Kentucky 38, Louisiana 30, Vir. 20.

IMPORTANT DECISION.—A circular has just been issued by the General Land Office stating that, by the act of March 22, 1852, land warrants and locations, not pre-emptive, are assignable; but it is the decision of the Department that where locations are made by pre-emptors of their rights, under the act of September 4, 1851, such pre-emption locations are not assignable, for the reason that there is an express inhibition in the said act of 1851 against the transfer of pre-emption.

THEATER.—Harr Cline made his first appearance, and left a very favorable impression. His performances on the tight rope were excellent. Indeed, we don't believe we have seen his equal. The effect of supporting himself upon a chair, setting a table before him, placing on it candies, bottles, glasses, and fruit, and drinking, was one showing very superior steadiness of nerve and presence of foot. His activity is also very great, and we have no doubt he will draw a crowd to-night. Mrs. Gladstone takes her benefit, and presents a strong bill—"Lauretta Burgia," Harr Cline's tight rope performances, and the "Love of a Lover." We expect, of course, that numerous friends will crow the house on this occasion, and prove their admiration of her as an actress. She will appear as Lauretta, with Hanley or Garneau.

REDEMPTION OF U. S. STOCK.—The Treasury Department has given notice that the United States stock, issued by act of Congress of July 22, 1850, will be redeemed on the 12th of November next, when the interest thereon will cease. The Department will also purchase the stock on the following terms, in addition to the interest accrued to the day of purchase, with one day's interest for the money to reach the vendor:

On stock received at the Treasury, between the 1st day of June and 31st day of July inclusive, one-half of one cent, on the amount received.

On stock received between the 1st and 31st days of August, one-fourth of one cent.

On stock received after the 31st of August, the interest accrued thereon and one day's additional interest only will be paid.

No notice is further given that the Department is ready to redeem the other outstanding stock of the United States on the following terms, until the last of December, unless the sum of \$1,500,000 shall have been previously obtained:

In addition to the interest accrued from the day of the last dividend of interest, and one day's additional interest for the money to reach the vendor, the following rates of premium:

On stock of the loan of 1851 a premium of ten percent.

On stock of the loans of 1847 and '48 a premium of ten percent.

On stock issued under the act of 9th September, 1850, commonly called Texas indemnity stock, a premium of 6 percent.

Stock Sales in the Blue Grass Region.

We clip from the Paris *Flag* of the 4th inst.:

Last Monday was another dull court day in Paris, owing, in a great measure, to the absence of a good many of our farmers who were in attendance at the Convention at Cincinnati on that day. There were a number of meetings in attendance, but the hour was past noon when the following sales were made by W. M. Kendrick, 71 Third street:

MISCELLANEOUS.

SPRING SUPPLY OF

Watches, Jewelry, Silver and Plated

Ware at

WM. KENDRICK'S, 71 THIRD STREET.

MY STOCK IS NOW

very complete, so that I am making

additions of the latest styles almost

every week.

Up to date a large stock of Gold

and Silver Watches, of the most ap-

pealing styles, and a variety of

silver articles recently received,

all of which are warrantied to

satisfactory, and are offered on reasonable terms.

SALE OF S. M. BIRKEL,

16 year old mares & gelds, \$200 to \$400

16 " " " 120 " 195 " 60

17 " " " 110 " 188 " 60

18 " " " 100 " 180 " 60

20 " " " 117 " 205 " 60

40 " " " 100 " 300 " 60

33 " " " 105 " 45 " 60

25 " " " 75 " 45 " 60

27 " " " 110 " 60 " 60

28 " " " 100 " 60 " 60

42 " " " 94 " 60 " 60

15 " " " 115 " 60 " 60

24 " " " 75 " 172 " 60

53 " " " 110 " 60 " 60

67 " " " 97 " 55 " 60

50 " " " 105 " 55 " 60

51 " " " 115 " 55 " 60

24 yearling " 74 " 10 " 1,650 " 60

15 " " " 65 " 75 " 165 " 60

23 yearling " 20 " 15 " 45 " 60

15 " " " 14 " 50 " 217 " 60

14 year old cattle " 29 " 60 " 540 " 60

14 " " " 40 " 40 " 560 " 60

1 old negro woman, \$1 05 " 1,050 " 70

1 man, 250 " 80 " 870 " 60

1 young negro man, 250 " 80 " 870 " 60

1 mare, 75 " 75 " 75 " 60

Total, \$67,328 85

Court of Appeals.

THIRD DAY.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 4.

CAUSES DECIDED.

Pomeroy vs. Graham, Louisville; affirmed.

Carson vs. Woodson, Knox; do.

Martin vs. Moore, Jeannette; do.

Kennedy vs. Bishop, Fayette; do.

Bess vs. Clark, Scott; reversed.

Martin vs. Fleming, Scott; reversed.

Ansonburg v. Eisen, Caldwell; do.

McGinnis vs. Whitehead, Gallatin; do.

Warrock vs. Com., Clark; do.

ORDERS.

Robards vs. Wise, Fayette; do.

Nash vs. Punnett, &c.; do.

Lexington vs. Bell, do.

Bruce vs. Wickens, do.

Morgan vs. Winn, do.

Were argued.

Police Court.

HON. G. W. JOHNSTON, JUDGE.

THURSDAY, June 5.

Alfred Morris, suspected felon. Discharged.

John Peter Antie, running three wagons with license. Fined \$5.

Margot Curran and Frank Sanders (f. m. a.) drunkenness and disorderly conduct. Bail in \$300 each for six months. Margaret went to the workhouse.

John Hodges, drunkenness. Bail in \$100 for month. Work-house.

Ellen Flowers, drunk and disorderly conduct. Bail in \$100 for month. Work-house.

Geo. Kennedy (f. m. c.), stealing a coat from H. Jones (f. m. c.). Bail in \$300 to answer a charge of a petty larceny. Work-house.

John Hodges, drunkenness. He was upon Monday night, Ann Harrison as dower estate on 1st and 2nd floors of Furtach & Wall street, and the same house. He was given a coat to wear, and the coat was taken away.

The purser will be required to give bond with a proved security, and then release the coat.

Henry Worden, drunkenness. He had the money a note. Bail in \$100 for one month. Work-house.

Elizabeth Harris (f. m. c.), drunkenness and disorderly conduct. Bail in \$200 for three months.

John Michael Stubbs vs. Chas. Fisher & Co. Water.

Two negroes belonging to Mr. Graves, and two belonging to Messrs. Lamb & Clift, of Mason county, made their escape on Sunday last.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done the same thing by marrying one.

See advertisement of pocket-book lost, containing papers of no value to any one except the owner; also, containing gold rings, gifts to the owner, and therefore the more highly prized.

Several very provoking typographical errors occurred in our issue yesterday morning, which the intelligent reader doubtless detected as such at once.

A city editor says that a man in New York got himself into trouble by marrying two wives.

A western editor assures his contemporary that a good many men in Michigan have done

